Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	narv	10/600,551	PUFFER ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Kuang Y. Lin	1725	
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>under non-final office action</u>				
(1) <u>Kuang Y. Lin</u> .		(3)		
(2) Mr. Hladik.		(4)		
Date of Interview: 8 March 2005		Time:		
	☐ Applica	nnt's representative)		
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed: The rejection under 35 USC 102(b) and 103(a) over C	Ostrowski			
Claims discussed: 1 and 7				
Prior art documents discussed: Ostrowski				
Part II.				,
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	HE GENEI	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:	
Part III.				
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 				
- , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)				

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner suggested the following amendments to place the application in condition for allowance: (1) in claim 1, incorporate the feature that the risers is located along the edges of the surface of the branch of the wax runner; (2) in claim 7, in a combination of a wax runner and an automated wax pattern assembly system, it comprises at least one locator on the head and the tail, both locators being aligned with one another; and means for positioning the wax runner in a fixture of an automated pattern assembly system. The attorney will consider the suggestion and submit a supplemental amendment..